

## **International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Science**

www.pharmacyjournal.org Online ISSN: 2664-7230, Print ISSN: 2664-7222 Received: 15-11-2021, Accepted: 06-12-2021, Published: 09-12-2021 Volume 3, Issue 2, 2021, Page No. 34-37

# Economics of Sulphur and zinc fertilization for improving productivity and quality of mustard (Brassica juncea L. Czern)

Tomar Bhavna, Deeksha Tembhre, Singh SK and Tomar Shobhana

<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>Oriental University, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, India

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/26647222.2021.v3.i2a.59

#### Abstract

A field experiment was conducted at Agronomy Research Field, Oriental University, Indore (M.P.) during Rabi seasons of 2021-22 and 2022-23. The soil of the experimental field was sandy clay loam in texture. Twenty four treatment combinations administered in mustard consisted of 4 sulphur levels (0, 20, 40 & 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), three Zn levels (0, 2.5 & 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and two zinc solubilizing bacteria levels (without zinc solubilizer & with zinc solubilizer) were replicated three times in randomized block design (factorial). Higher value of growth parameters (like; plant height (cm), number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup>, and dry matter accumulation plant<sup>-1</sup>), yield attributes (*viz.*; number of silliquae plant<sup>-1</sup>, and 1000 seeds weight), qualitative parameters (*viz.* oil content and nutrient *viz.*; N, P, K, S & Zn content in seed) as well as computed parameters (*viz.*; seed yield, biological yield and HI) and gross return were recorded significantly under 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level, which was statistically at par with 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level; while lower value was noted under 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level; while significantly minimum value was noted under 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level. Significantly superior value of different attributes was noted with zinc solubilizer; while minimum value was recorded without zinc solubilizer. In case of B:C ratio; higher B:C ratio was recorded significantly under 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level + 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level, which was statistically at par with 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level + 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level; while lower value was noted under 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level + 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level.

Keywords: B:C ratio, HI, mustard, qualitative parameters, yield attributes.

#### Introduction

Indian mustard (Brassica juncea L. Czern) is the most important Rabi oilseed crops in India. About 19% of the nation's total oilseed production is made up of the crops rapeseed and mustard in India. The requirement of vegetable oil and fat will be the much higher in coming years in view of ever increasing population. Among the oilseed crop rapeseed mustard occupy rank next to soybean in acreage and production. Indian mustard, Brown and Yellow Sarson, Raya, and Toria Crop belong to the mustard-rapeseed species. Gujarat, Rajasthan, Madhya Pradesh, the United Provinces, Haryana, and several southern states including Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, and Tamil Nadu are among the states that grow Indian mustard. Sulfur has recently come to be recognised as a crucial ingredient for improving the quality and production of all crops, particularly oilseeds and pulses. Sulfur has a crucial role in the synthesis of important amino acids like methionine, cysteine, and cysteine, as well as oils in oilseeds, and as such, it has an impact on the yield and quality of the crop. According to estimates, India is one of the top nations in the world for mustard production, coming in third in terms of area (19.3%) behind Canada (24.6%) and China (20.6%). (Anonymous, 2021b)<sup>[2]</sup>. Currently, in India, 7.39 M ha of land is planted to rapeseed and mustard, yielding an estimated 9.95 MT on an average of 1211 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Anonymous, 2021a)<sup>[1]</sup>. Sulphur deficiency has recently been detected in more than 50% of Indian soils. Another obstacle that is restricting agricultural output is a lack of micronutrients in the soil. Due to intensive agriculture, the use of high analysis fertilisers, and farmers'

inadequate or nonexistent usage of zinc fertilisers, zinc insufficiency appears to be the most widely prevalent. Tandon (2010) <sup>[13]</sup> reported that sulphur deficiency trends to affect adversely the growth and yield of oilseed crop, which reduces the crop yield to an extent of 10-30%. Highest Zn deficiency in alluvial soils may be attributed to very low organic carbon, very high sand content, large number of ravines and top soil losses through run-off (Yadav and Meena, 2009) <sup>[17]</sup>.

#### Methodology

To carry out the investigation during Rabi seasons of 2021-22 and 2022-23 at Agronomy Research Field, Oriental University, Indore (M.P.). The area was uniform topography. The experimental field was gentle slope provides free drainage of excessive water, which is an essential condition for mustard crop growing. Twenty four treatment combinations administered in mustard consisted of 4 sulphur levels (0, 20, 40 & 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), three Zn levels (0, 2.5 & 5) kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and two zinc solubilizing bacteria levels (without zinc solubilizer & with zinc solubilizer) were replicated three times in randomized block design (factorial). The 90 kg N, 40 kg P2O5 and 40 kg K2O per hectare were optimum dose (100%) for NPK. Half dose of the N in the form of urea was applied as basal and remaining quantity of nitrogen was top dressed after first irrigation. The Complete Dose of P and K were applied by DAP and muriate of potash at the time of sowing. Mustard variety 'Rohini' was sown at row spacing of 30 cm with seed rate of 5 kg ha-1. All recommended practices were followed during crop growing season. All parameters were statistically analyzed by null method as described by Fisher (1959)<sup>[3]</sup> and Panse and Sukhatme 34

### (1967)<sup>[5]</sup>.

#### **Results and Discussion**

The results have revealed several points of interest are discussed in this chapter. During the course of discussion an effort has been made to establish relationship between various treatments and yield of the crop. All findings of research have been described as per pooled data. The various treatments significantly affected the growth parameters like; plant height (cm), number of branches plant- 1, and dry matter accumulation plant<sup>-1</sup> at all stages of crop growth except their interactions (Table 1). Significantly maximum value of growth parameters was recorded under 60 kg/ha S level, which was statistically at par with 40 kg/ha S level while minimum value was observed under 0 kg/ha S level at all growth stages. Higher value of growth parameters was registered significantly under 5 kg/ha Zn level, which was statistically at par with 2.5 kg/ha Zn level while lower value was recorded under 0 kg/ha Zn level at all growth stages. Superior value of growth parameters was noted with zinc solubilizer while significantly minimum value was recorded without zinc solubilizer at all growth stages. The increase in growth attributes might be due to adequate availability of sulphur and zinc attributed to better nutritional environment for plant growth at active vegetative stages as a result of enhancement in cell multiplications, cell elongation and cell expression in the plant body. The results of present investigation are also in agreement with the findings of Singh et al. (2016) <sup>[10]</sup>, Sahu et al. (2019) <sup>[7]</sup> and Verma et al. (2020) <sup>[16]</sup>. The yield attributes *viz*. number of silliquae plant<sup>-1</sup> and 1000 seeds weight were significantly affected by different treatments except their interactions (Table 1). Higher number of yield attributeswas recorded significantly under 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>S level. Which was statistically at par with 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level while lower value was noted under 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level. Significantly maximum number of yield attributes was registered under 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level. Which was statistically at par with 2.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level while minimum value was recorded under 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level. Superior value of yield attributes was observed with zinc solubilizer while significantly minimum value was recorded without zinc solubilizer. This may be due to efficient nutrients level combination provided congenial condition to the crop for proper development on its reproductive phase resulted in the enhancement of all yield contributing characters have also been reported by Singh *et al.* (2016) <sup>[10]</sup>, Singh *et al.* (2017) <sup>[9, 9]</sup>, Nath et al. (2019) <sup>[6]</sup>, Meena et al. (2019) <sup>[4]</sup> and Rana et al. (2019) <sup>[6]</sup>. The qualitative parameters viz.; oil content and nutrient (N, P, K, S & Zn) content in seed were significantly influenced various treatments except Zinc solubilizing bacteria and all interactions (Table 1). Significantly higher qualitative parameters were recorded under 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level, which was statistically at par with 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level; while lower value was noted under 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level. Maximum qualitative parameters were recorded under 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level, which was statistically at par with 2.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level while significantly minimum value was observed under 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level. Significantly superior value of N and K content in seed was noted with zinc solubilizer while minimum value was recorded without zinc solubilizer. The increase in sulphur and zinc uptake might be due to increased concentration of sulphur and zinc in soil with the application of sulphur and zinc. The higher sulphur concentration in seed and stover resulted in greater uptake of sulphur and zinc in plant.

The result of present investigation is corroborating with the findings of Sipai et al. (2016)<sup>[11]</sup> and Rana et al. (2019)<sup>[6]</sup>. The computed parameters viz. seed yield, biological yield and HI were significantly influenced various treatments and S x Z & Z x I interactions except other interactions. Significantly higher value of computed parameters were registered under 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level. Which was statistically at par with 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level; while lower value was recorded under 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level. Maximum value of computed parameters were recorded under 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level. Which was statistically at par with 2.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level while significantly minimum value was noted under 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level. Significantly superior value of seed yield and biological yield were noted with zinc solubilizer ~ 1911 ~ The Pharma Innovation Journal https://www.thepharmajournal.comwhile minimum value was recorded without zinc solubilizer. Higher value of seed yield was registered under 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level + 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level. Which was statistically at par with 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level + 2.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level while significantly lower value was recorded under 0 kg  $ha^{-1}$  S level + 0 kg  $ha^{-1}$  Zn level. Significantly maximum seed yield was noted under 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level + with zinc solubilizer. Which was statistically at par with 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level + without zinc solubilizer while minimum value was recorded under 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level + without zinc solubilizer. Maximum harvest index was recorded under 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level + without zinc solubilizer. which was statistically at par with 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level + with zinc solubilizer; while significantly minimum value was registered under 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level + without zinc solubilizer. The increase in seed yield under adequate sulphur supply might be ascribed mainly due to the combined effect of higher number of siliquae/plant, more number of seeds siliqua<sup>-1</sup> and higher 1000seed weight, which was the result of better translocation of photosynthates from source to sink. Sulphur also stimulates the pod setting, seed formation and oil synthesis and seed to stover ratio in mustard and it increases the biological, seed, stover yields and harvest index of mustard. Singh et al. (2016) [10], Nath et al. (2019) [6] and Verma and Dawson (2019) [15] also reported the similar results. The gross return and B:C ratio was significantly influenced by].

In case of B:C ratio; significantly higher B:C ratio was noted under 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level. Which was statistically at par with 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level; while lower value was recorded under 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level. Maximum value of gross return and B:C ratio was noted under 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level. Which was statistically at par with 2.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level; while significantly minimum value was recorded under 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level. Superior value of gross return was recorded significantly with zinc solubilizer; while minimum value was registered without zinc solubilizer. In case of B:C ratio significantly superior B:C ratio was recorded without zinc solubilizer while minimum value was noted without zinc solubilizer. Significantly higher value of gross return was recorded under 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level + 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level. Which was statistically at par with 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level + 2.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level; while lower value was registered under 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level + 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level. In case of B:C ratio, higher B:C ratio was recorded significantly under 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level + 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level. which was statistically at par with 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level + 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level, while lower value was noted under 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level + 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level. Maximum value of gross return was recorded under 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level + with zinc solubilizer. which was statistically at par with 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level + without zinc solubilizer, while significantly minimum value was noted under 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level +

without zinc solubilizer. In case of B:C ratio, significantly maximum B:C ratio was recorded under 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level + without zinc solubilizer. which was statistically at par with 2.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level + without zinc solubilizer; while minimum

value was noted under 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level + without zinc solubilizer. These results are in tune with the findings of Singh and Pandey (2017) <sup>[9]</sup>, Sahu *et al.* (2019) <sup>[7]</sup> and Upadhyay *et al.* (2019a) <sup>[14]</sup>.

Table 1: Effect of sulphur and zinc fertilization on growth, yield and qualitative parameters in mustard

Treatment	Plant Height	No. of	Dry matter	No. of Silliguae	1000 seed	Oil Content	Ν	Р	K	S	Zn
Treatment	(cm)	plant <sup>-1</sup>	plant <sup>-1</sup> (g)	plant <sup>-1</sup>	ant <sup>-1</sup> weight (g) (%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(ppm)
Sulphur Level (S)											
0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	160.03	7.04	25.43	216.69	3.25	40.17	2.767	0.567	0.639	1.140	20.44
20 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	191.45	7.99	29.93	245.62	3.69	42.04	2.997	0.594	0.667	1.193	21.40
60 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	195.47	9.16	29.53	251.62	3.77	42.30	2.914	0.597	0.672	1.200	21.53
60 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	199.19	9.29	29.97	254.50	3.91	42.50	2.929	0.600	0.675	1.206	21.63
SE(m)±	2.29	0.09	0.35	3.00	0.04	0.31	0.020	0.004	0.005	0.009	0.16
CD at 5%	6.46	0.27	1.00	9.49	0.11	0.99	0.057	0.012	0.013	0.025	0.46
Zinc Level (Z)											
0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	169.25	7.40	26.67	227.19	3.40	40.94	2.921	0.579	0.650	1.161	20.94
2.5 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	193.29	9.06	29.15	249.35	3.72	42.09	2.900	0.594	0.669	1.194	21.42
5 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	194.91	9.13	29.43	250.79	3.76	42.23	2.910	0.596	0.670	1.199	21.50
SE(m)±	1.99	0.09	0.31	2.60	0.03	0.27	0.017	0.004	0.004	0.009	0.14
CD at 5%	5.60	0.23	0.96	7.35	0.10	0.77	0.049	0.011	0.012	0.022	0.40
Zinc Solubilizing Bacteria (I)											
Without Zinc Solubilizer	174.50	7.69	27.75	236.40	3.54	41.45	2.956	0.595	0.659	1.176	21.10
With Zinc Solubilizer	193.07	9.05	29.09	247.92	3.71	42.05	2.997	0.594	0.669	1.193	21.40
SE(m)±	1.62	0.07	0.25	2.12	0.03	0.22	0.014	0.003	0.003	0.006	0.11
CD at 5%	4.57	0.19	0.70	6.00	0.09	NS	0.040	NS	0.010	NS	NS
Interaction											
S x Z	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
S x I	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
ZxI	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
S x Z x I	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 2: Effect of sulphur and zinc fertilization on computed parameters and economics in mustard

Treatment	Seed yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Biological yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	HI (%)	Gross Return (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )	B:C Ratio (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )						
Sulphur Level (S)											
0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	1479	5110	29.923	60917	2.99						
20 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	1702	5609	30.322	70026	3.10						
40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	1734	5691	30.490	71322	3.06						
60 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	1755	5734	30.631	72194	2.99						
SE(m)±	11	53	0.197	439	0.02						
CD at 5%	30	150	0.556	1239	0.05						
Zinc Level (Z)											
0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	1541	5293	29.219	63516	2.99						
2.5 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	1710	5636	30.313	70357	3.06						
5 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	1750	1750	30.736	71971	3.09						
SE(m)±	9	46	0.170	379	0.02						
CD at 5%	26	130	0.491	1072	0.05						
Zinc Solubilizing Bacteria (I)											
Without Zinc Solubilizer	1639	5459	29.999	67446	3.01						
With Zinc Solubilizer	1696	5613	30.190	69793	3.00						
SE(m)±	7	37	0.139	309	0.01						
CD at 5%	21	106	NS	975	NS						
Interaction											
S x Z	S	NS	NS	S	S						
S x I	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS						
ZxI	S	NS	S	S	S						
S x Z x I	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS						

Treatment	Seed yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			HI (%)			Gross	Return (H	B:C Ratio (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )			
Z/I	0 kg ba <sup>-1</sup>	2.5 kg	5 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	0 kg ba <sup>.1</sup>	2.5 kg	5 kg ba <sup>-1</sup>	0 kg ba <sup>-1</sup>	2.5 kg	5 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	2.5 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	5 kg ba <sup>-1</sup>
Without Zinc Solubilizer	1491	1691	1742	29.609	30.393	30.961	61115	69599	71634	2.93	3.09	3.13
With Zinc Solubilizer	1601	1729	1759	29.927	30.232	30.512	65916	71125	72309	2.93	3.04	3.04
SE(m)±	13		0.241			536			0.02			
CD at 5%	37		0.691			1516			0.07			

Table 3: Interaction effect of zinc and zinc solubilizing bacteria fertilization on computed parameters and economics of mustard

#### Conclusion

Higher value of growth parameters, yield attributes, qualitative parameters as well as computed parameters and gross return were recorded significantly under 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level, which was statistically at par with 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level; while lower value was noted under 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level. Maximum value of growth parameters, yield attributes, qualitative parameters as well as computed parameters, gross return and B:C ratio were recorded under 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level, which was statistically at par with 2.5 kgha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level; while significantly minimum value was noted under 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level. Significantly superior value of growth parameters, yield attributes, qualitative parameters as well as computed parameters and gross return were noted with zinc solubilizer; while minimum value was recorded without zinc solubilizer. In case of interaction (S x Zn) as per B:C ratio; higher B:C ratio was recorded significantly under 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level + 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level. which was statistically at par with 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level + 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level while lower value was noted under 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> S level + 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level. In case of other interaction (Zn x Zinc solubilizing bacteria) as per B:C ratio; significantly maximum B:C ratio was recorded under 5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level + without zinc solubilizer. which was statistically at par with 2.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level + without zinc solubilizer; while minimum value was noted under 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> Zn level + without zinc solubilizer.

#### References

- 1. Anonymous. Agricultural Statistics at a Glance. The Pharma Innovation Journal; c1913. Online Available at: https://www.thepharmajournal.com. Accessed [Access Date]. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Govt. of India; c2021a.
- 2. Anonymous. Annual Progress Report. All India Coordinated Research Project on Oilseeds. Directorate of Oilseeds Research, Hyderabad; c2021b. p. 271.
- 3. Fisher RA. Statistical table for Biological Agricultural and Medican Research. Oliver and Boyd Ltd. Edinburgh Great Britain; c1959.
- Meena M, Mahabeer, David, Arun A, Kumar S. Effect of different levels of NPK and zinc sulphate on yield and oil content in mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) Var. Jai Kisan. Int J Pure App Biosci. 2019;6(6):722-727.
- Panse VG, Shukhatme PV. Statistical Methods for Agricultural workers. ICAR Publication, New Delhi; c1967. p. 359.
- Rana P, Sirothia P, Yadav BNS. Effect of sulphur and zinc on yield and uptake of nutrients by mustard (*Brassica* spp L.) under rain fed condition. Int J Chem Stud. 2019;6(6):971-974.
- 7. Sahu DK, Swaroop N, Prasad D, *et al.* Effect of different doses of sulphur and zinc with NPK on different growth parameters and yield attribute of yellow mustard (*Brassica*)

*campestris* L.) cv. Sunanda. Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci. 2019;7(3):1014-1022.

- Singh AK, Meena RN, Kumar AR, Kumar S, Meena R, Singh AP. Effect of land configuration methods and sulphur levels on growth, yield and economics of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) under irrigated condition. J Oilseed Brassica. 2017;9(2):151-157.
- Singh HC, Pandey G. Effect of sulphur and zinc on growth and yield of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). Adv Res J Crop Improv. 2017;9(2):199-202.
- Singh V, Singh AK, Raghuvanshi N, Singh RA. Effect of sulphur levels on growth and yield of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czern and Coss) varieties. Progressive Research - An International Journal. 2016;11(Spl-II):945-949.
- 11. Sipai H, Sevak, Kuldeep, Modi DB, Khorajiya KU, Joshi R. Jigar. Effect of sulphur and zinc with and without FYM on yield, content and uptake of nutrients after harvest of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czern & coss) grown on light textured soil of Kachchh. The Ecoscan. 2016;10(3&4):527-534.
- 12. Takkar PN, Colin D. The distribution and correction of zinc deficiency. Zinc in Soil and Plant Chapter 11-55 of the series development in plant and soil science; c1999. p. 151-165.
- 13. Tandon HLS. Soil sulphur deficiencies: towards integration of diverse data bases. Ind J of Fertilisers. 2010;6(10):14-24.
- 14. Upadhyay Y, Tigga B, Dhruw SS, Masih A. Effect of S and Zn with N P K on yellow mustard (*Brassica campestris* L.): An economic analysis. Int J Fauna Biol Stud. 2019a;5(1):101-103.
- 15. Verma H, Dawson J. Response of Sowing Methods and Different Levels of Sulphur and Boron on Growth and Yield of Yellow Sarson (*Brassica campestris* L.). Int J Curr Microbiol App Sci. 2019;7(3):1559-1564.
- Verma V, Maurya CL, Negi PS, Maurya M, Tomar S. Effect of different levels of zinc and sulphur on seed quality of Indian mustard. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2020;9(10):410-415.
- 17. Yadav RL, Meena MC. Available micronutrients status and their relationship with soil properties of Degana soil series of Rajasthan. J Indian Soc Soil Sci. 2009;57(1):90-92.